Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/087,633	YANO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Hanley	1651
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After 1st action</u>		
(1) <u>Examiner Susan Hanley</u> .	(3)	
(2) Attorney Richard Barth.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 May 2004 Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-8, 13-19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 31 and 33-42		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Ex. Hanley informed Attorney Barth that the amendment and declaration received by the office on 4/27/04 overcame the rejections of record. Att. Barth agreed to the cancellation of non-elected claim 13, without prejudice, by Examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		